Reframing Assessment Strategies: The Impact of Reflective Teaching on University EFL Lecturers' Perspectives and Practices

Phuong Hoang Yen
School of Foreign Languages, Can Tho University, Can Tho, Vietnam

Le Thanh Thao
School of Foreign Languages, Can Tho University, Can Tho, Vietnam

Pham Trut Thuy
Nam Can Tho University, Can Tho, Vietnam

Nguyen Anh Thi
School of Foreign Languages, Can Tho University, Can Tho, Vietnam

Huynh Thi Anh-Thu
School of Foreign Languages, Can Tho University, Can Tho, Vietnam

Nguyen Huong Tra
School of Foreign Languages, Can Tho University, Can Tho, Vietnam

Abstract—This study delves into the evolving perspectives and practices of lecturers regarding classroom assessment, especially in terms of integrating reflective teaching methods. Drawing insights from 15 English as a foreign language (EFL) lecturers, certain emergent trends were identified, including a pronounced shift towards constructivist approaches to assessment and an increased emphasis on formative over summative assessment strategies. Lecturers also showcased enhanced reflective abilities in assessment design, with a significant number highlighting the improved alignment between learning outcomes, teaching methodologies, and assessment strategies. A deepened appreciation for diverse learning pathways became evident, and there was an amplified responsiveness to student feedback in tailoring assessment strategies. The study also found trends like embracing technological tools for improved assessment, a surge in interdisciplinary collaboration in assessment design, a heightened empathy towards student challenges, and a strong advocacy for collaborative assessments. The findings underscore the profound implications of reflective teaching on assessment strategies. Recommendations for future studies emphasize the need for a broader participant base and exploration of direct impacts on student outcomes.

Index Terms—assessment strategies, reflective teaching, university lecturers, perspectives, practices

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent decades, global shifts in educational paradigms have placed heightened emphasis on student-centered learning, foregrounding the significance of Outcome-based Education (OBE). OBE focuses on the students' ability to demonstrate specific outcomes by the end of their educational experience rather than the traditional input-centric emphasis on teaching processes (Spady, 1994). Such a transformation demands reconsideration of the assessment methodologies employed by teachers, as they play a pivotal role in gauging these outcomes (Driscoll & Wood, 2023). Specifically, in countries with deeply-rooted conventional pedagogies like Vietnam, adapting to this framework requires a substantial rethinking of instructional and assessment strategies.

Reflective teaching (RT) has been posited as one of the promising practices in fostering such transformative shifts. It entails a continuous process where teachers critically review and adapt their teaching methods based on feedback, experiences, and results (Thao et al., 2023). Reflective practices empower lecturers to align their assessment strategies with the intended learning outcomes and to ensure that assessments are both valid and reliable (Barton & Ryan, 2014). Despite its significance, the impact of RT on the transformation of assessment strategies, especially within the Vietnamese higher education (HE) context, remains under-explored.

Vietnam's university landscape is undergoing rapid modernization and internationalization, and there is a growing need to align its HE system with global standards, like OBE (Han et al., 2016). However, transitioning to a new system

is not merely about adopting new frameworks; it is about changing the very mindsets of those who deliver education. For Vietnam, this involves challenging entrenched assessment practices and beliefs about teaching and learning.

This study aims to bridge this gap by investigating the effects of RT practices on Vietnamese university English as foreign language (EFL) lecturers' transformation of student assessment in line with the OBE framework. Through a qualitative lens, this research offers deeper insights into the experiences, challenges, and opportunities faced by Vietnamese lecturers in the journey of reconfiguring their assessment approaches and, by extension, reimagining the future of Vietnamese HE.

II. Literature Review

A. Outcome-Based Education and Assessment

OBE has its origins in the competency-based education movement of the 1960s and 1970s (Spady, 1994). The central tenet of OBE is a shift from focusing on the educational process to prioritizing the outcomes or end-results of that process (Driscoll & Wood, 2023). An essential component of OBE is assessment, as it serves as the mechanism to gauge whether students have achieved the predetermined outcomes.

Assessment within the OBE framework differs significantly from traditional assessment. Biggs and Tang (2011) emphasized the importance of 'constructive alignment' (CA) in OBE, where the curriculum's learning activities and assessment tasks are designed to support and measure the intended learning outcomes. A successful OBE assessment strategy not only validates the achievement of outcomes but also fosters a deeper, more student-centric learning environment.

B. Reflective Teaching

Dewey (1933) introduced the concept of reflection in education, underscoring the importance of active, persistent, and careful consideration of any belief or form of knowledge. Schön (1987) differentiated between 'reflection-in-action' (reflecting during teaching) and 'reflection-on-action' (reflecting after teaching), both of which are integral to a teacher's professional growth. In this current study, RT involves lecturers critically analyzing their classroom practices, making informed changes, and assessing the impacts of these changes on student learning (Thao et al., 2023).

C. Reflective Teaching and Assessment Practices

RT has a profound impact on assessment practices (Torres-Goens & Farley, 2017). By continuously evaluating and adapting their strategies, teachers can ensure their assessments are not only aligned with learning objectives but also encourage deeper understanding and critical thinking (Brookfield, 2015). A reflective approach allows for a feedback loop, enabling lecturers to refine assessments to be fairer, valid, and relevant (Dann, 2002).

D. Higher Education in Vietnam

Vietnam's HE system, traditionally teacher-centric, has been undergoing changes to align with international standards (Thao & Mai, 2020). However, the adoption of global pedagogies like OBE is often challenging due to deeply-rooted beliefs about teaching and learning (Nguyen et al., 2009). There is an inherent tension between established practices and the demands of contemporary education paradigms.

While there is substantial literature on OBE, RT, and their individual implications on assessment, there is a noticeable gap when it comes to understanding their combined effects, especially in non-Western contexts like Vietnam. This research aims to explore this nexus, adding a rich, contextual perspective to the existing body of knowledge. As language education systems worldwide grapple with the demands of the 21st century, practices like OBE and RT are of paramount importance. This literature review establishes a foundational understanding of these concepts and their roles in shaping contemporary assessment practices. However, the distinctiveness of the Vietnamese HE landscape necessitates further exploration, which this study endeavors to undertake.

III. Methods

A. Research Design

The nature of this research requires an in-depth exploration of Vietnamese university EFL lecturers' experiences and perceptions, making a qualitative study the most suitable approach. Qualitative research, grounded in interpretivist epistemology, allows for a nuanced understanding of individual experiences, context-specific behaviors, and the subjective meanings participants attach to their actions (Creswell & Poth, 2016). The richness of qualitative data provides the depth needed to uncover the intricate dynamics of RT practices and their influence on assessment changes according to the OBE framework.

Constructivist Learning Theory (CLT) is the primary theoretical framework underpinning the current study. At the heart of the study is the CLT, proposed by Piaget (1970) and Vygotsky (1978). This theory posits that learners actively construct knowledge by integrating new information with their existing knowledge. In the context of this research, the constructivist lens helps in understanding how Vietnamese EFL lecturers, with their foundational beliefs and practices, assimilate and apply RT principles to modify their assessment techniques within the OBE paradigm. The emphasis is on

the lecturer as an active agent in the learning process, continually reshaping their assessment strategies in response to experiences and reflections.

B. Participants

Fifteen university EFL lecturers from a prominent HE institution located in the Mekong Delta region of Vietnam participated in the study. These lecturers played a pivotal role in the study, offering invaluable insights into the nuances of adopting RT practices and the consequent alterations in student assessment techniques aligning with the OBE framework. In selecting the participants, attention was given to ensure gender equity, with the group comprising 8 males and 7 females. This gender distribution enriched the diversity of experiences and perspectives shared. The average age of the participants was 42.8 years, and they had committed an average of 17 years to the teaching profession. Such extensive teaching experience positioned them uniquely to provide insights into the transformations, challenges, and intricacies of adapting assessment techniques to the demands of the OBE framework. On the academic front, 11 lecturers held Ph.D. degrees. The other 4 were in the advanced stages of their doctoral studies. Their academic accolades and pursuits emphasized their deep expertise and commitment to scholarly inquiry, which were expected to enhance the depth and validity of the insights they shared. The study employed purposive sampling to select the participants. The primary criterion for selection was their active roles as university EFL lecturers involved in RT and the consequent changes in student assessments in the Vietnamese context. Additional criteria like gender, age, and academic achievements were integrated to ensure a comprehensive participant pool. In conclusion, the participants, with balanced gender representation, extensive teaching tenures, and esteemed academic credentials, were anticipated to offer a panoramic view of the impacts of RT on assessment strategies. Their combined expertise was deemed essential for the depth and success of this qualitative exploration.

C. Data Collection

Semi-structured interviews were selected as the primary data collection instrument for this study. This approach was deemed suitable due to its flexibility in allowing participants to express their views, experiences, and insights while also ensuring that core research topics were consistently addressed (Adeoye-Olatunde & Olenik, 2021).

Prior to the main data collection, a pilot study was conducted involving three university EFL lecturers who were not part of the main study sample. The aim was to test the initial set of interview questions for clarity, relevance, and appropriateness. Feedback from the pilot study was instrumental in refining and streamlining the interview guide. Based on the feedback from the pilot study, some interview questions were revised to enhance clarity and ensure alignment with the research objectives. Questions that were perceived as leading or ambiguous were either rephrased or replaced to ensure that the responses would be genuine and unbiased. Some sample revised interview questions included, "How would you describe your experience with RT in relation to student assessment practices? Can you provide specific examples where RT influenced a change in your assessment strategy within the OBE framework? In what ways, if any, have the principles of OBE challenged or supported your traditional assessment methods? How do you perceive the role of RT in enhancing the alignment of assessments with OBE outcomes?".

Each interview session lasted approximately 90 minutes. Interviews were conducted at locations that were both convenient and comfortable for the participants, primarily in their respective university offices or quiet, private spaces within the campus to ensure confidentiality and minimal distractions. Given that the study was centered in Vietnam and involved Vietnamese university lecturers, the interviews were conducted in Vietnamese. This choice ensured that the participants could express their views and experiences with nuance and precision. However, for the purposes of analysis and documentation, the interview data was translated and transcribed into English, maintaining the essence of the participants' narratives.

To ensure ethical rigor throughout the data collection process, informed consent was obtained from each participant before conducting the interviews. They were briefed about the purpose of the research, the nature of their participation, and their rights, including the right to withdraw at any point without any repercussions. Also, measures were taken to maintain the confidentiality of the participants. Personal identifiers were removed or replaced with pseudonyms during the transcription process. Moreover, all data, including audio recordings, transcriptions, and notes, were securely stored to protect the participants' privacy.

D. Data Analysis

The data collected through semi-structured interviews underwent a systematic process of thematic analysis, as outlined by Braun et al. (2023). Thematic analysis is a qualitative method that identifies, analyzes, and reports themes within the data. This approach was chosen due to its flexibility, comprehensiveness, and capacity to provide a detailed and nuanced understanding of the data.

The analysis progressed through distinct phases. Initially, the research team familiarized themselves with the data by repeatedly listening to the audio recordings and reading the transcribed interviews. This immersion facilitated an initial grasp of participants' narratives. Subsequently, the data was systematically coded, employing both inductive (data-driven) and deductive (theory-driven) techniques. This coding resulted in initial codes that were then grouped based on similarities, giving rise to potential themes and sub-themes. Ensuring the themes aptly mirrored the data, some were refined, merged, or bifurcated to offer a clear pattern. This refinement persisted until a coherent thematic map emerged.

Each theme was delineated in depth, capturing its core and breadth, and aptly named to reflect its essence. In the concluding phase, themes were synthesized to deliver an exhaustive data analysis, supported by poignant extract examples that addressed the participants' experiences.

Throughout the analysis process, the research team maintained a reflexive stance, being aware of their preconceptions, biases, and the potential influence of their backgrounds on the analysis. Regular team meetings were held to discuss and challenge interpretations, ensuring a balanced and rigorous analysis. The use of triangulation, involving cross-checking data sources and methods, further enhanced the credibility and validity of the thematic analysis.

IV. FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

A. Embracing Constructivist Approaches to Assessment

Most of participants (n=12 out of 15) notably highlighted a shift towards embracing a constructivist approach to student assessment following their engagement with RT methods. They stressed the importance of seeing students as active participants in the learning process rather than mere recipients of knowledge. Participant E shared his insights, stating, "After adopting RT methods, I have come to see students as contributors to the learning journey. They are not just absorbing information; they are constructing knowledge". Similarly, Participant B shared, "It is more than just tests and memorization. It is about gauging how students weave new information into their existing knowledge fabric. This perspective shift came from my RT practices".

In many previous studies, the adoption of a constructivist approach to assessment was often attributed to evolving educational paradigms or external training programs. For instance, Darling-Hammond and Lieberman (2013) suggested that the transformation in assessment strategies among HE instructors was a result of increasing awareness of pedagogical shifts worldwide. Similarly, Luong (2015) indicated that workshops on modern assessment methodologies influenced Vietnamese lecturers to move towards more student-centric evaluation systems. However, this current study demonstrates a more intrinsic motivation for this shift, rooted in personal reflection and introspection, which has been less emphasized in earlier research. The testimonies from the participants, especially those of Participants E and B, resonate with the constructivist assertions made by Piaget (1970). These pioneers in constructivist thought stressed the idea of students as builders of their knowledge. What sets the current study apart is how RT practices, a seemingly unrelated instructional strategy, can catalyze this perspective shift among lecturers. This internal realization and transition, fueled by reflection rather than external stimuli, signifies a deeper, more intrinsic transformation in the lecturers' beliefs.

B. Enhanced Reflective Abilities in Assessment Design

A significant theme echoed by many participants (n=11 out of 15) was the evolved ability to introspect and adapt their assessment designs, ensuring they better resonated with both learning outcomes and the diverse needs of students. Participant L conveyed the transformative power of RT, noting, "RT has instilled in me a deeper consciousness about assessments. I no longer churn out tests arbitrarily. Instead, I ponder, deliberate, and recalibrate assessments to ensure they genuinely resonate with what I intend for my students to grasp". Building on this sentiment, Participant I emphasized the dynamic nature of assessment design, stating, "For me, assessment design has transformed into a living, breathing entity. I constantly reflect on its efficacy, identify lapses, and strive for improvements. It is a dynamic, ever-evolving process".

Historically, assessment design in HE was often criticized for being static, devoid of evolution, and not adequately aligned with the contemporary needs of learners. Miller et al. (2000) pointed out that many lecturers adopted a 'set it and forget it' approach to assessment, seldom revisiting or revising their evaluation methods despite shifting educational paradigms or student demographics. However, the narratives of Participants L and I, among others, mark a departure from this traditional stance. Their testimonies echo a renewed commitment to dynamism in assessment design, driven by deep introspection, a theme less documented in previous research. This emphasis of this study on reflection as a potent catalyst in reshaping assessment design significantly complements constructivist beliefs, as propagated by thinkers like Dewey (1933). Recognizing students as co-constructors of knowledge necessitates a fresh look at how their understanding is assessed. The participants' testimonies underline this need, demonstrating that RT practices prompt lecturers to craft assessments that delve deeper, seeking to gauge how learners integrate, contextualize, and apply new knowledge.

C. Alignment Between Learning Outcomes, Teaching, and Assessment

A notable number of participants (n=9 out of 15) underscored the improved coherence between learning outcomes, teaching methodologies, and assessment strategies after integrating RT practices into their pedagogical approach. Participant J expressed a heightened sense of integration in their teaching approach, remarking, "Everything feels more connected now. The objectives I set for my students, the methods I employ to teach, and the way I assess their understanding — they all interlock perfectly". Echoing a similar view, Participant D elaborated on the unity in their pedagogical methods, reporting, "There is a newfound harmony in my teaching approach. My teaching goals, methods, and assessments seem to echo the same pedagogical language, a coherence I attribute to reflective practices".

The interplay between learning outcomes, teaching methodologies, and assessment strategies stands as a cornerstone in pedagogical research. Achieving a seamless alignment between these triad elements has been the holy grail for lecturers worldwide. This current study's revelations, informed by the testimonies of the participants, provide an invigorating perspective on this pursuit, suggesting that the integration of RT practices might be the missing link in forging this sought-after alignment. The narratives of Participants D and J bear semblance to Miseliunaite et al.'s (2022) assertion that a holistic educational experience arises from the intricate balance between what is taught, how it is taught, and how learning is evaluated. However, while the literature extensively underscores the importance of this alignment, the mechanisms to achieve it have often been nebulous. The current study casts light on one such potent mechanism - RT. The participants' experiences, as they transitioned from possibly fragmented teaching approaches to a more harmonized pedagogy, underscore the transformative potential of reflection. From a constructivist lens, the emphasis on creating a conducive environment for active knowledge construction is paramount. Piaget (1970) highlighted that for students to genuinely construct knowledge, the educational ecosystem should be coherent. The participants' insights offer a compelling case in favor of this argument. Their reflective practices have evidently paved the way for a more cohesive educational journey, aligning learning outcomes with teaching and assessment strategies, thus setting the stage for effective constructivist learning.

D. Deepened Appreciation for Diverse Learning Pathways

Out of the participants, many of them (n=10 out of 15) reported a renewed appreciation for the diverse learning pathways that students undertake. They recognized that while the end goal (or outcome) might be shared, the journey to get there is highly individualized. Participant G, having integrated RT practices, shared a newfound appreciation for the individuality of each student's learning trajectory, stating, "After integrating RT, I see that each student's learning journey is unique. The paths they carve to achieve learning outcomes are distinct, and assessments should honor these differences." Similarly, Participant O emphasized the limitations of conventional assessment methods, noting, "I have come to realize that a one-size-fits-all approach to assessment does not work. By reflecting on my methods, I now appreciate the varied routes students take in learning, and I have tried to incorporate this into my assessment design".

The concept of diverse learning pathways, while not novel, remains a cornerstone in contemporary pedagogical discourse. The value of recognizing and appreciating the distinct journeys students undertake in their learning experiences has been heralded by numerous scholars (e.g., Austen et al., 2021). However, the tangible integration of this understanding into teaching and assessment practices remains a challenge for many lecturers. The insights from this study shed light on how RT practices can potentially bridge this gap. Participants G and O's reflections offer a poignant illustration of this shift in perspective. Their testimonies mirror the sentiments echoed by Dewey (1933) when he argued that education is not merely about reaching an end goal but valuing the experiences that constitute the journey. This finding of this study contributes a fresh angle to this conversation, indicating that RT can act as the catalyst prompting lecturers to internalize and apply this appreciation for diverse learning pathways. From the CLT's vantage point, the idea of unique knowledge structures and individualized learning trajectories is foundational. Vygotsky's (1978) emphasis on social interaction and the Zone of Proximal Development intimate that learners will invariably construct knowledge differently, based on varying interactions and experiences. The narratives from the participants echo this idea, suggesting that their RT practices have deepened their appreciation for this inherent diversity in learning. Their emphasis on tailoring assessments to honor these distinct journeys underscores a marked shift towards a more constructivist stance.

E. Enhanced Responsiveness to Student Feedback in Assessment Adaptation

A compelling theme, resonating with a majority of participants (n=13 out of 15), was the amplified responsiveness to student feedback when making adaptations in assessment strategies. The lecturers emphasized the newfound value they placed on student feedback as a tool for reflective assessment revision. Participant A, expressing a significant shift in their perspective on assessment design, stated, "Previously, I relied mostly on my perceptions to shape assessments. RT has made me realize the goldmine that is student feedback. It is now integral to how I adapt and refine assessments". This sentiment was echoed by Participant F, who stressed the invaluable insights gained from student perspectives, stating, "There is no better way to understand the effectiveness of an assessment than through the eyes of those being assessed. I have begun to see student feedback not as criticism but as a collaborative tool for improvement".

The role of feedback in education, particularly its significance in guiding instructional and assessment strategies, is a well-established notion in educational literature (Walvoord & Anderson, 2011). However, the emphasis has traditionally been on feedback from the lecturer to the student. The current study's findings reverse this lens, spotlighting the transformative power of student feedback as a reflective tool for lecturers. This pivot, facilitated by RT practices, offers a fresh and indispensable perspective to the discourse on feedback in pedagogy. The insights shared by Participants A and F, for instance, emphasize a critical shift from viewing assessments as a unilateral lecturer-driven process to a more collaborative endeavor. This perspective aligns with Stronge's (2018) assertion that effective teaching and assessment strategies are contingent on understanding learning from the student's viewpoint. The findings underscore this sentiment, accentuating the role of RT in facilitating this understanding. Grounding this discussion in the CLT, the centrality of student feedback becomes even more pronounced. As posited by Piaget (1970), learners actively engage with and interpret new information based on their prior knowledge. Given this active role, their

feedback provides lecturers with a direct window into their constructed understanding. The narratives of the participants resonate with this idea, signifying that their responsiveness to student feedback is driven by an endeavor to align assessments with students' unique knowledge constructs.

F. Greater Interdisciplinary Collaboration in Assessment Design

A surprising trend among participants (n=8 out of 15) was an increased tendency towards interdisciplinary collaboration when crafting assessments. They spoke about breaking silos and seeking insights from colleagues across various disciplines to ensure a more holistic assessment approach. Participant K highlighted the advantages of interdisciplinary collaboration in pedagogical practices, stating, "I have started collaborating with faculty from other disciplines. This interdisciplinary dialogue has brought fresh perspectives and innovative assessment techniques that I had not considered earlier". Building on this notion of integrating diverse academic fields, Participant C shared their experience, expressing, "Marrying principles from other subjects into my assessment design has not only made them richer but also more comprehensive. It has been a rewarding journey of mutual learning and enhanced assessment design".

Interdisciplinary collaboration has long been championed in educational circles for its potential to cultivate a more rounded, comprehensive understanding among students. However, its implications in the realm of assessment design have been less explored, making the findings of the present study particularly intriguing. This push towards interdisciplinary collaboration in crafting assessments, as reported by participants, suggests a seismic shift in the traditional paradigms of education, emphasizing the interconnectedness of knowledge. The narratives from Participants C and K spotlight a deliberate move away from insular, discipline-centric thinking. They echo sentiments expressed in broader academic discourses, where interdisciplinary approaches are lauded for their capacity to yield richer, more nuanced perspectives (Klein, 1990). By pooling insights from diverse disciplines, assessments can be designed to mirror the complex, intertwined nature of real-world problems and scenarios, thereby enhancing their authenticity and relevance. Within the framework of the CLT, this turn towards interdisciplinary collaboration makes intuitive sense. Vygotsky (1978) posited that learning is a socially mediated process, shaped by interactions and dialogues. The very act of collaborating across disciplines can be seen as an embodiment of this theory. By weaving together threads of knowledge from various fields, lecturers are facilitating the creation of a richer tapestry of understanding. Assessments borne out of such collaborations are more likely to tap into the depth and breadth of a student's constructed knowledge, gauging their ability to synthesize and apply insights from multiple domains (Kadam & Mukhopadhyay, 2023).

G. Enhanced Empathy Towards Student Challenges in Assessments

A significant proportion of the participants (n=11 out of 15) mentioned a heightened sense of empathy towards students and the challenges they face during assessments. The lecturers revealed a deeper understanding of student anxieties, strengths, and areas of struggle, leading to more compassionate and supportive assessment designs. Participant E expressed the transformative influence of RT, noting, "RT has made me walk a mile in my students' shoes. I now sense their apprehensions and strengths better. It is reshaped my assessments to be more empathetic." Expanding on this sentiment of deeper understanding, Participant N shared, "Understanding their journey, their hurdles, and their aspirations has been eye-opening. My assessments now strive to challenge yet support, to gauge yet understand".

The emergence of heightened empathy among lecturers towards student challenges in assessments is both a heartening and vital finding in the context of contemporary education (Bialystok & Kukar, 2018). While the importance of technically sound assessment design is undeniable, understanding and appreciating the emotional and psychological dimensions of the assessment experience is equally crucial. The narratives of Participants E and N resonate deeply with the growing body of literature emphasizing the human side of education, where emotions, motivations, and personal experiences play pivotal roles in shaping learning trajectories (Wilson, 2018). The CLT has long championed the idea of students as active participants in their learning journeys. Each student, with their unique background, experiences, and capacities, approaches the learning process differently. In this context, the heightened empathy observed among lecturers can be viewed as a natural extension of the constructivist mindset. Recognizing the distinct paths students take, lecturers are more equipped to design assessments that acknowledge and accommodate these individualized journeys, thereby creating a more inclusive and supportive assessment environment.

H. Recognition of the Importance of Ongoing Professional Development in Assessment Strategies

An intriguing revelation from a substantial number of participants (n=12 out of 15) was the realization of the need for continuous professional development in the realm of assessment strategies. They expressed a deeper understanding of the evolving nature of assessment methods and the importance of staying updated with current best practices. Participant A highlighted the dynamic nature of the educational landscape, observing, "The landscape of assessment is ever-evolving. I have come to see that to remain effective and relevant, I must invest in continuous learning and training." Echoing the importance of staying current, Participant O added, "Reflecting on my practices made me realize some of my methods were outdated. Engaging in professional development workshops and seminars has since become a priority for me".

The data presents a refreshing perspective, highlighting lecturers' recognition of the importance of ongoing professional development in assessment strategies. In the rapidly evolving educational landscape, characterized by

technological advancements, changing curricula, and diversified student needs, the ability of lecturers to adapt and stay updated becomes crucial (Race, 2019). The narratives of Participants A and O illuminate this essential aspect of contemporary pedagogy. The CLT posits that knowledge is not a static entity; it is continuously constructed and reconstructed based on new experiences and information. In the same vein, lecturers, as lifelong learners, must consistently update their methodologies to cater to the evolving needs of their students. This is especially pertinent in the realm of assessments, given their profound impact on student learning and outcomes. Staying abreast with current best practices ensures that assessments are not only fair and accurate but also relevant and engaging.

I. Increased Emphasis on Formative Over Summative Assessment

A noteworthy transition reported by the majority of participants (n=10 out of 15) was the increased emphasis on formative assessments over summative ones. The lecturers expressed a belief that continuous feedback and assessment throughout the learning process provided more benefits than an end-of-term evaluative assessment. Participant D emphasized a transition in assessment philosophy, sharing, "I have shifted my focus from grading at the end to assessing throughout. The continuous feedback, I believe, aids in genuine, deep-rooted learning". Building on this perspective, Participant F elaborated on the value of formative assessment, stating, "The beauty of formative assessment is that it does not just evaluate; it instructs. It is a dynamic tool that adjusts the learning process as it progresses".

The paradigm shift from summative to formative assessment, as highlighted by the participants, signifies a profound evolution in the perception of assessment's role in education. Instead of being viewed merely as an endpoint evaluation tool, assessments are increasingly recognized for their transformative potential in shaping the learning process itself (Dwyer, 2017). At the heart of the CLT is the notion that knowledge is not a fixed entity to be transmitted, but rather an evolving structure built progressively by learners. Formative assessments seamlessly integrate into this framework. They provide continuous feedback loops, facilitating learners in reshaping their understanding in real-time (Stanja et al., 2023). Participant D's emphasis on "genuine, deep-rooted learning" through formative assessment echoes this sentiment, highlighting the belief that consistent feedback leads to a richer, more nuanced grasp of subject matter. As Participant F articulates, formative assessment does not merely evaluate – it plays an active role in instructing and guiding, making it a participatory player in the educational journey.

J. Embracing Technology for Enhanced Assessment

Among the participants, a notable number (n=9 out of 15) reported an increased reliance on and embrace of technology for designing and conducting assessments. These lecturers spoke about the benefits of digital tools and platforms in creating more engaging, interactive, and efficient assessment processes. Participant B highlighted the advantages of integrating technology into the assessment process, noting, "Using technology has allowed me to craft more diverse and engaging assessments. Digital tools also give instant feedback, which has been invaluable for student learning". Similarly, Participant H reflected on the expansive potential of technology in assessment design, stating, "Incorporating technology into my assessments has not just made them more efficient, but also broader in scope. Online platforms allow for a range of assessment types I had not considered before".

The infusion of technology into assessment practices, as highlighted by the participants, signifies a transformative approach to evaluating student learning in the modern educational landscape. As the digital era shapes the way how the language is taught and learned (Jie et al., 2020; Susanto et al., 2022), it is only fitting that assessments evolve to harness the capabilities of technology, ensuring they remain pertinent, effective, and in tune with the learning experiences of students. Central to the CLT is the idea that learners actively construct their knowledge based on their experiences. Technology, with its diverse range of tools and platforms, offers an array of experiences that cater to different learning styles (Trembach & Deng, 2018). As Participant B mentions, digital tools not only enable diverse assessments but also offer instant feedback, a feature that aligns well with the constructivist principle of continuous knowledge building. The immediacy of feedback accelerates the feedback loop, allowing students to adapt and adjust their learning strategies promptly.

K. Advocacy for Collaborative Assessments

A clear trend observed among the participants (n=8 out of 15) was a strong advocacy for collaborative assessments. The lecturers indicated a growing appreciation for assessments that allow students to work in teams, emphasizing the value of collaborative skills in the modern world. Participant G emphasized the significance of aligning assessments with real-world values, stating, "The real world values teamwork. My assessments now often include group projects or discussions, allowing students to not just demonstrate subject knowledge but also collaborative skills". Echoing this sentiment, Participant J remarked on the enhanced depth brought by collaborative assessments, observing, "Collaborative assessments have opened my eyes to the diverse perspectives students bring. It's not just about individual knowledge anymore; it's about how they synergize as a team".

The movement towards collaborative assessments, as highlighted by the participants, is indicative of a broader educational shift recognizing the integral role of teamwork and collaboration in both academic and professional arenas (Rogers et al., 2017). In the age of globalization and interconnectedness, the ability to work collaboratively is not just an added bonus but a necessity. As such, it is pivotal for educational assessments to evolve in a way that gauges and fosters this crucial skill. At its core, the CLT asserts that knowledge is not passively received but actively constructed, often

influenced by social interactions (Piaget, 1970; Vygotsky, 1978). The advocacy for collaborative assessments taps into this intrinsic social aspect of learning. Participant G's observation about the myriad of perspectives students bring during collaborative assessments echoes this sentiment. By encouraging students to work in teams, assessments become more than just a measure of individual comprehension; they become a platform for collective knowledge construction. Through discussions, debates, and shared problem-solving, students draw from each other's insights, leading to a more comprehensive understanding of topics.

V. CONCLUSION

The landscape of educational assessment has witnessed a significant evolution over recent years. These shifts reflect the changing demands of the modern world as well as advancements in pedagogical thinking and practices. Central to this study was the endeavor to probe deeper into these evolving trends in assessment design. Through conversations with lecturers, the objective was to understand the nuances of their current practices and the emerging priorities in their assessment methodologies. To achieve this, fifteen lecturers were interviewed in-depth. These interactions facilitated a comprehensive understanding of their philosophies, preferences, and approaches to assessment. In order to interpret the gathered insights in a structured manner, the data were analyzed qualitatively. This analysis was framed within the paradigms of the CLT.

Upon engaging with RT methods, a significant shift towards a constructivist approach to assessment was evident among a majority of the participants. These lecturers began to perceive students not just as passive recipients, but as active contributors to the learning process. This perspective shift fundamentally transformed how they viewed and conducted assessments, pivoting away from rote memorization towards understanding how students integrate new knowledge. Furthermore, RT practices greatly heightened the lecturers' reflective abilities in assessment design. Many lecturers highlighted a newfound consciousness about the design and intent of assessments, emphasizing adaptability and relevance to the student's learning journey. Such introspection was seen to be a direct result of their RT practices, leading them to create assessments that were more dynamic and adaptable. The interplay between learning outcomes, teaching methodologies, and assessment strategies was another prominent finding. A substantial number of lecturers noted an enhanced coherence in these elements, crediting their alignment to the adoption of RT practices. This harmony, they suggested, improved the overall effectiveness of their teaching approach. Alongside this, there was a deepened appreciation for diverse learning pathways. Lecturers acknowledged the individualized journeys students undertook, emphasizing the need for assessments to cater to these unique paths rather than employing a one-size-fits-all approach. One of the more salient outcomes was the amplified responsiveness to student feedback when revising assessment strategies. RT evidently made lecturers more receptive to feedback, transforming it from mere critique to a collaborative tool for improvement. Interestingly, a trend towards interdisciplinary collaboration in assessment design also emerged. Breaking academic silos became a focus for many, resulting in richer, more comprehensive assessments. The heightened sense of empathy towards student challenges was another impactful outcome of RT practices. A deeper understanding of student anxieties and strengths led to the development of assessments that were more compassionate and supportive. The research also pointed to an increasing recognition of the importance of ongoing professional development. A majority felt the need to continuously update themselves with evolving assessment methods, emphasizing the dynamic nature of the educational landscape. A shift in emphasis from summative to formative assessments was also evident. Continuous feedback and assessment were seen as tools for deeper, more genuine learning, signaling a move away from traditional end-term evaluations. The embrace of technology for enhanced assessment was another discernible trend. Digital tools were heralded not just for efficiency but for the diversity and range of assessments they enabled. Lastly, the value of collaborative assessments emerged strongly. This was linked to the real-world relevance of teamwork and collaboration, indicating a broader shift in how lecturers view the purpose and nature of assessments in preparing students for the modern world.

The conclusions drawn from this study are illuminating. They underscore a pivotal shift in assessment strategies that mirror the broader trajectories in educational transformations and the challenges and opportunities of our contemporary world. The overarching theme is clear: lecturers today are engaged in deep reflection about their methods, striving to adapt and innovate. Their goal is to ensure students are not only evaluated but also nurtured, equipped with the requisite knowledge, skills, and competencies for the challenges of the 21st century. As the educational landscape continues its relentless evolution, there's an inherent need to perpetually reevaluate and refine assessment methodologies, ensuring they remain relevant, compassionate, and effective.

VI. IMPLICATIONS

The findings from this study present a transformative lens through which educational strategies and pedagogies can be viewed, leading to significant implications for the broader academic arena. The embrace of constructivist learning approaches among EFL lecturers underscores a pivotal shift in teaching perspectives. As EFL lecturers transition towards perceiving students as active contributors to their learning journey, there is a pressing need for educational institutions to reassess and potentially recalibrate their curricula and teaching methodologies. Such a paradigm shift paves the way for fostering environments that champion students' active participation in knowledge construction.

Furthermore, the heightened reflective abilities in assessment design exhibited by lecturers signal a transformative approach to pedagogy. This places emphasis on the importance of reflective practices in professional development programs. By cultivating a mindset of continuous reflection, assessments can be dynamically tailored to resonate with the evolving needs of students, ensuring an adaptive and responsive educational framework. The study also spotlights the criticality of harmonizing various curriculum elements. An alignment between learning objectives, teaching methodologies, and assessment strategies can amplify the overall efficacy of educational programs. This coherence implies that institutions might benefit from an integrated approach to curricular design, ensuring that each element reinforces the others, leading to a more cohesive student learning experience.

The recognition and appreciation of diverse learning pathways bring to the fore the significance of flexibility in educational strategies. It is evident that a one-size-fits-all approach may not be conducive to addressing the diverse learning trajectories students undertake. Institutions should, therefore, consider introducing assessment methods that accommodate varied learning styles, fostering an inclusive educational environment. A standout theme from the findings is the elevated importance of student feedback. The amplified responsiveness of EFL lecturers to student feedback accentuates its invaluable role in shaping pedagogical strategies. Institutions should prioritize creating structured channels that actively solicit and integrate student feedback, ensuring it plays a pivotal role in the iterative refinement of teaching and assessment techniques.

Surprisingly, the trend towards interdisciplinary collaboration in assessment design has significant ramifications. Educational institutions stand to benefit immensely from promoting cross-disciplinary dialogues and interactions, leading to a holistic, well-rounded educational experience that draws from varied academic perspectives. Empathy in assessment designs introduces a fresh dimension to pedagogy. With EFL lecturers displaying a heightened understanding of student challenges, assessments can be crafted to strike a balance between being challenging yet supportive. This empathetic approach might serve to boost student morale, engagement, and success rates.

The renewed focus on ongoing professional development in assessment strategies reinforces the dynamic nature of the educational landscape. It is imperative for institutions to champion continuous learning, offering EFL lecturers avenues to stay abreast of the latest pedagogical techniques and best practices. A noteworthy shift towards formative assessments poses implications for how student progress is evaluated throughout academic terms. Institutions and lecturers may need to re-envision their assessment strategies, leaning towards continuous feedback mechanisms that shape the learning journey in real-time.

The integration of technology in assessments heralds a new era in pedagogy. Institutions must not only invest in cutting-edge digital tools and platforms but also ensure lecturers are adept at leveraging these tools to their maximum potential. Lastly, the strong advocacy for collaborative assessments underscores the importance of teamwork in the contemporary world. This trend suggests a pressing need for educational strategies to reflect and champion collaborative skills, ensuring students are well-prepared for modern professional demands.

VII. LIMITATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER STUDIES

This study, while comprehensive, comes with its inherent limitations. One primary limitation lies in the sample size, as insights were drawn from the experiences of only 15 participants. Given the vast diversity in teaching styles, methodologies, and backgrounds, this sample might not encapsulate the entirety of the pedagogical landscape. Additionally, participants' self-reporting and potential biases can introduce variances in the data's authenticity, potentially influencing the study's outcomes. The context-specific nature of this research, focusing on EFL lecturers who have integrated RT methods, could mean that the findings may not be universally applicable across all educational settings or cultures.

Considering these limitations, there is a compelling need for further studies to expand and validate these findings. Future research could benefit from engaging a larger, more diverse cohort of lecturers across different educational levels, and cultural contexts to ensure a more holistic understanding of the trends highlighted. Additionally, employing mixed-methods research, integrating both qualitative and quantitative methods, could offer a more nuanced view of the subject. It would also be valuable to explore the direct impact of these identified trends on student outcomes, bridging the gap between lecturer perspectives and tangible student achievements.

REFERENCES

[1] Adeoye-Olatunde, O. A., & Olenik, N. L. (2021). Research and scholarly methods: Semi-structured interviews. *Journal of the American College of Clinical Pharmacy*, *4*(10), 1358-1367. https://doi.org/10.1002/jac5.1441

[2] Austen, L., Pickering, N., & Judge, M. (2021). Student reflections on the pedagogy of transitions into higher education, through digital storytelling. *Journal of Further and Higher Education*, *45*(3), 337-348. https://doi.org/10.1080/0309877X.2020.1762171

[3] Barton, G., & Ryan, M. (2014). Multimodal approaches to reflective teaching and assessment in higher education. *Higher Education Research & Development*, *33*(3), 409-424. https://doi.org/10.1080/07294360.2013.841650

[4] Bialystok, L., & Kukar, P. (2018). Authenticity and empathy in education. *Theory and Research in Education*, *16*(1), 23-39. https://doi.org/10.1177/1477878517746647

[5]   Biggs, J., & Tang, C. (2011). Train-the-trainers: Implementing outcomes-based teaching and learning in Malaysian higher education. *Malaysian Journal of Learning and Instruction*, *8*, 1-19. https://bit.ly/46ccv0N

[6]   Braun, V., Clarke, V., & Hayfield, N. (2023). *Thematic analysis: A reflexive approach*. SAGE Publications.

[7]   Brookfield, S. (2015). So what exactly is critical about critical reflection?. In *Researching critical reflection* (pp. 11-22). Routledge.

[8]   Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2016). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches*. Sage Publications.

[9]   Dann, R. (2002). *Promoting assessment as learning: Improving the learning process*. Psychology Press.

[10]   Darling-Hammond, L., & Lieberman, A. (Eds.). (2013). *Teacher education around the world: Changing policies and practices*. Routledge.

[11]   Dewey, J. (1933). Why have progressive schools?. *Current History*, *38*(4), 441-448. https://doi.org/10.1525/curh.1933.38.4.441

[12]   Driscoll, A., & Wood, S. (2023). *Developing outcomes-based assessment for learner-centered education: A faculty introduction*. Taylor & Francis.

[13]   Dwyer, C. A. (Ed.). (2017). *The future of assessment: shaping teaching and learning*. Routledge.

[14]   Han, M., Nguyen, D., Cohen, E., Drabble, L., Nguyen, H., Sen, S., & Tran, T. (2016). Exploring the transferability of competency-based education model to social work education in Vietnam. *Social Work Education*, *35*(6), 659-671. https://doi.org/10.1080/02615479.2016.1162782

[15]   Jie, Z., Puteh, M., & Sazalli, N. A. H. (2020). A social constructivism framing of mobile pedagogy in English language teaching in the digital era. *Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science*, *20*(2), 830-836. https://doi.org/10.11591/ijeecs.v20.i2.pp830-836

[16]   Kadam, V. A., & Mukhopadhyay, L. (2023). Using Translingual Mediated Revisions to Develop Micro-linguistic Abilities in Writing Argumentative Essays: A Study of Indian ESL Learners. In *Handbook of Multilingual TESOL in Practice* (pp. 111-128). Springer Nature Singapore.

[17]   Klein, J. T. (1990). *Interdisciplinarity: History, theory, and practice*. Wayne State University Press.

[18]   Luong, G. T. H. (2015). *Achieving change in student assessment in Vietnamese teacher training institutions* (Doctoral dissertation, Southern Cross University).

[19]   Miller, L., DeJean, J., & Miller, R. (2000). The literacy curriculum and use of an integrated learning system. *Journal of Research in Reading*, *23*(2), 123-135. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9817.00109

[20]   Miseliunaite, B., Kliziene, I., & Cibulskas, G. (2022). Can holistic education solve the world's problems: A systematic literature review. *Sustainability*, *14*(15), 9737. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14159737

[21]   Nguyen, P. M., Elliott, J. G., Terlouw, C., & Pilot, A. (2009). Neocolonialism in education: Cooperative learning in an Asian context. *Comparative Education*, *45*(1), 109-130. https://doi.org/10.1080/03050060802661428

[22]   Piaget, J. (1970). *Piaget's theory*. Wiley.

[23]   Race, P. (2019). *The lecturer's toolkit: A practical guide to assessment, learning and teaching*. Routledge.

[24]   Rogers, G. D., Thistlethwaite, J. E., Anderson, E. S., Abrandt Dahlgren, M., Grymonpre, R. E., Moran, M., & Samarasekera, D. D. (2017). International consensus statement on the assessment of interprofessional learning outcomes. *Medical Teacher*, *39*(4), 347-359. https://doi.org/10.1080/0142159X.2017.1270441

[25]   Schön, D. A. (1987). *Educating the reflective practitioner: Toward a new design for teaching and learning in the professions*. Jossey-Bass.

[26]   Spady, W. G. (1994). *Outcome-Based Education: Critical Issues and Answers*. American Association of School Administrators.

[27]   Stanja, J., Gritz, W., Krugel, J., Hoppe, A., & Dannemann, S. (2023). Formative assessment strategies for students' conceptions—The potential of learning analytics. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, *54*(1), 58-75. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.13288

[28]   Stronge, J. H. (2018). *Qualities of effective teachers*. ASCD.

[29]   Susanto, B., Wajdi, M., Sariono, A., & Sudarmaningtyas, A. E. R. (2022). Observing English classroom in the digital era. *Journal of Language and Pragmatics Studies*, *1*(1), 6-15. https://doi.org/10.58881/jlps.v1i1.1

[30]   Thao, L. T., & Mai, L. X. (2020). English language teaching reforms in Vietnam: EFL teachers' perceptions of their responses and the influential factors. *Innovation in Language Learning and Teaching*, *16*(1), 29-40. https://doi.org/10.1080/17501229.2020.1846041

[31]   Thao, L. T., Thuy, P. T., Thi, N. A., Yen, P. H., Thu, H. T. A., & Tra, N. H. (2023). An insight into reflective teaching levels of Vietnamese EFL teachers in a premier language center. *Cogent Education*, *10*(2), 2243118. https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2023.2243118

[32]   Torres-Goens, L., & Farley, L. (2017). The impact of reflective practice in a university language class. *Reflective Practice*, *18*(4), 448-462. https://doi.org/10.1080/14623943.2017.1307724

[33]   Trembach, S., & Deng, L. (2018). Understanding millennial learning in academic libraries: Learning styles, emerging technologies, and the efficacy of information literacy instruction. *College & Undergraduate Libraries*, *25*(3), 297-315. https://doi.org/10.1080/10691316.2018.1484835

[34]   Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *The role of play in development*. Taylor & Francis.

[35]   Walvoord, B. E., & Anderson, V. J. (2011). *Effective grading: A tool for learning and assessment in college*. John Wiley & Sons.

[36]   Wilson, J. M. (2018). *The human side of changing education: How to lead change with clarity, conviction, and courage*. Corwin Press.

Yen H. Phuong is currently an associate professor at the School of Foreign Languages, Can Tho University, Vietnam. She carries studies on language teaching approaches, students' learning autonomy, self-regulated learning strategies and teachers' professional development. She published articles in different journals and is the editor of one Scopus-indexed book on alternative assessment in language teaching.

Thao T. Le is a lecturer at Can Tho University, specializing in language skills courses. He also serves as an editor for Jurnal Komunikasi Pendidikan, an international journal managed by Universitas Veteran Bangun Nusantara. LE's research interests include TESOL, educational policies, and classroom-based studies.

Thuy T. Pham is a lecturer at Nam Can Tho University, where she primarily teaches courses on language skills, translation, and interpretation. She is currently preparing for her Ph.D. studies, and her research interests focus on teacher professional development.

Thi A. Nguyen is currently a lecturer of English at Can Tho University, Vietnam. His main research interests involve pre/in-service teacher training, teacher education and language teaching methodology, particularly in the field of Task-based Language Teaching (TBLT). Most of his publications on TBLT and English language teaching were oriented towards the development of a researched-pedagogy. An overarching goal of his research is to promote positive educational practices and experience exchange among researchers, teachers, and the like.

Anh-Thu T. Huynh is an English lecturer with a passion for language and literature. She holds a Master's degree in TESOL from Can Tho University, Vietnam, and has extensive experience teaching English to university students. She is committed to helping her students improve their language proficiency and develop their critical thinking skills.

Tra H. Nguyen teaches French at School of Foreign Languages, Can Tho University, specializing in French as a Foreign Language Didactics. She holds a Master's degree in Language Science from the University of Rouen, France, and a Doctorate in Language Science from the Free University of Brussels, Belgium. Dr. Nguyen's research interests include French language didactics, action research, and linguistics research.